

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,293	06/16/2005	Johannes Bruske	7863-84347	7521
42798 75	590 08/15/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY			MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H	
P. O. BOX 65973 WASHINGTON, DC 20035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/539,293	BRUSKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ornection to the content drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to delaying(s) be held in abeyance. See ton is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/2005.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwarz US patent 4,227,553.

'553 discloses, "A dobby 2 (or any shed forming mechanism) is mounted on a weaving machine 1 in FIG. 1, which dobby systematically drives a plurality of heddle frames 6 through plural rocker arms 3 and cords 4 which are guided over guide rollers 5. Each heddle frame 6 is biased by tension springs 7 in a direction counter to the movement generated by the dobby. The heddle frame 6 is enclosed laterally by heddle frame guides 8, the guides on the right side of FIG. 1 are not shown for reasons of providing a clearer view of a rocking lever 99 to be described below."

Figure 7 clearly shows a heddle rail 19, 17 as claimed. Also spring 82, member 81 and rail 19 in figure 7 interact as recited in claim 3.

Figure 8 shows the rod 13 under the influence of spring 83 and lever 84 or slot cam surface 85 resisting the spring force to act as the so-called "adjusting device" in claims 4, 5 and 11.

Figures 1, 7 and 8 all show variations of support and a resiliently yielding part as recited in claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz in view of Koch US patent 4,342,339.

Although Schwarz teaches all of the limitations as cited above, Schwarz does not teach a heddle rail that receives a heddle with a spring means provided.

However, '339 does teach," A weaving heddle includes an elongated heddle shaft having U-hook shaped end

loops at opposite ends for holding the heddle on opposed heddle carrying rods of a heddle frame. The loops include shanks for overlapping with the rods, and the loops have inner support surfaces spaced a predetermined distance apart and extending transversely of the shaft for engagement with the rods. One of such support surfaces is resiliently biased toward the other of such surfaces for accommodating any increase in such predetermined distance (abstract)."

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use heddles with resiliently biasing (spring means) surfaces to accommodate any increase in the distance between the heddle and the members carrying the heddles (heddle rail).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz in view of DE 3021163.

Although Schwarz teaches all of the limitations as cited above, Schwarz does not teach the shaft frame having at least three drive points spaced in the transverse direction of heddle frame movement.

However, '163 does clearly teach in figure 1, three such points of connection.

Further, '163 teaches, "This arrangement provides a rigid, distortion-free connection between the levers (for heald frames of extreme length), which is easily assembled and disassembled for ease of transport (derwent abstract)."

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the three driving point connection system to provide a heald frame (shaft frame) with a rigid, distortion free connection between the drive means and the heald frame.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bobby Muromoto August 1, 2006 Patent examiner